



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,365	04/07/2004	Xiaojun Wang	SILI 2828 (CAD: 03-114)	7698
4586	7590	05/05/2006	EXAMINER	
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE 3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043			ROSSOSHEK, YELENA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2825	

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/820,365

Applicant(s)

WANG ET AL.

Examiner

Helen Rossoshek

Art Unit

2825

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 10-22 and 25-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 8, 9, 23 and 24 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 07 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the Application 10/820,365 filed 04/07/2004.

2. Claims 1-30 are pending in the Application.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 29 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 29 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. For the examination purposes Examiner assume that claim 29 depends from claim 16.

4. Claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 10, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 29, 30 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 8 after "spiral" delete ",", insert --;

Claim 5 line 3 after "spiral" delete ",", insert --;

Claim 5 line 5 after "spiral" delete ",", insert --;

Claim 6 line 3 after "spiral" delete ",", insert --;

Claim 8 line 4 after "spiral" delete ",", insert --;

Claim 8 line 7 after "layer" delete ",", insert --;

Claim 8 line 10 after "resides" delete ",", insert --;

Claim 10 line 4 after "shape" delete ",", insert --;

Claim 14 line 6 after "data" delete ",", insert --;

Art Unit: 2825

Claim 14 line 9 after "angle" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 15 line 5 after "inductance" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 16 line 10 after "spiral" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 20 line 4 after "spiral" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 20 line 6 after "spiral" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 21 line 4 after "spiral" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 23 line 5 after "spiral" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 23 line 8 after "layer" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 23 line 12 after "resides" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 24 line 4 after "spiral" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 24 line 7 after "layer" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 24 line 11 after "resides" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 25 line 8 after "shape" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 29 line 6 after "data" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 29 line 9 after "angle" delete ",", insert --;--

Claim 30 line 5 after "inductance" delete ",", insert --;--

5. Claims 8, 9, 23 and 24 are objected to because of the following informalities:
it is not clear whether second binary data includes the data of the spiral and center tap (second limitation of the claim 8 and 23), and if this is a case how the second binary data indicates positions of conductive material forming the spiral and refrains from indicating positions of any conductive material forming the center tap. The same problem with claims 9 and 24.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-7, 10-22, 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lampaert et al. (US Patent 6,588,002).

With respect to claim 1 Lampaert et al. teaches an integrated circuit (IC) physical verification method within LVS (layout versus circuit schematic) verification of the generated circuit layout (col. 2, ll.14-15) comprising the steps of: a. processing layout data describing positions of conductive material residing on layers of an IC including a drawn inductor having a spiral to produce recognition layer data representing a two-dimensional boundary shape of the spiral that is a composite of two-dimensional shapes of all conductive material forming the spiral within integrated circuit design including a step of generating circuit layout data (col. 1, ll.22-24), wherein layout data includes description of the integrated circuit design including spiral inductor shown on the schematic diagram of the Fig. 2 (col. 4, ll.18-21) and the spiral inductor resides on layers of the integrated circuit, i.e. on the metal (conductive) level 2 and metal level 1(col. 9, ll.29-33) and presented by Fig. 4 demonstrating a two-dimensional boundary

Art Unit: 2825

shape of the spiral inductor (col. 8, ll.65-66), wherein spiral inductor 424 includes spiral inductor metal segments 426, which form an exemplary shape of square (col. 9, ll.10-12); and b. processing the recognition layer data to generate parameter data describing a shape of the spiral within determining a spiral inductor parameters, such as X_{size} 418 and Y_{size} 422, number of turns 406, spacing 410, width 414 as shown on the Fig. 4 (col. 9, ll.1-3).

With respect of claim 16 Lampaert et al. teaches computer-readable media containing software which when read and executed by a computer causes the computer to carry out an integrated circuit (IC) physical verification method within a computer system shown on the Fig. 7, which can be utilized to implement a design of the integrated circuit including step of verification of the design IC inducing a data of a layout of a spiral inductor as shown on the Fig. 6 (step 610) (col. 12, ll.36-40; col. 11, ll.44-46), comprising the steps of: a. processing layout data describing positions of conductive material residing on layers of an IC including a drawn inductor having a spiral to produce recognition layer data representing a two-dimensional boundary shape of the spiral that is a composite of two-dimensional shapes of all conductive material forming the spiral within integrated circuit design including a step of generating circuit layout data (col. 1, ll.22-24), wherein layout data includes description of the integrated circuit design including spiral inductor shown on the schematic diagram of the Fig. 2 (col. 4, ll.18-21) and the spiral inductor resides on layers of the integrated circuit, i.e. on the metal (conductive) level 2 and metal level 1 (col. 9, ll.29-33) and presented by Fig. 4 demonstrating a two-dimensional boundary shape of the spiral inductor (col. 8, ll.65-66),

Art Unit: 2825

wherein spiral inductor 424 includes spiral inductor metal segments 426, which form an exemplary shape of square (col. 9, ll.10-12); and b. processing the recognition layer data to generate parameter data describing a shape of the spiral within determining a spiral inductor parameters, such as X_{size} 418 and Y_{size} 422, number of turns 406, spacing 410, width 414 as shown on the Fig. 4 (col. 9, ll.1-3).

With respect to claims 2-7, 10-15, 17-22, 25-30 Lampaert et al. teaches:

Claims 2, 17: further comprising the step of: c. processing the recognition layer data to determine whether the spiral has turns of uniform width by indicating all segments of spiral inductor layout and their width and confirming that all segments have equal width (uniform) as demonstrated by a table of the Fig. 3 (col. 6, ll.15-19);

Claims 3, 18: further comprising the step of: c. processing the recognition layer data to determine whether all bends in the spiral are of uniform bend angle within determination of the shape of the spiral inductor layout, which might be a square as demonstrated by the Fig. 4, wherein each angle of bending the segment of the spiral inductor equal to 90° (col. 9, ll.10-12);

Claims 4, 19: further comprising the step of: d. processing the recognition layer data to identify a position of the spiral within determining spiral inductor parameters such as Y_{size} and X_{size} to indicate a total width of spiral inductor in the x direction and y direction, which might be used for determination a position of the spiral inductor in the integrated circuit layout (col. 4, ll.61-64);

Claims 5, 20: wherein the parameter data indicates at least one of the following: a length of the spiral, a width of conductive material forming turns of the spiral, and

spacing between conductive material turns of the forming the spiral within determining parameters of the spiral inductor shown on the Fig. 4, wherein such parameters as X_{size} (length of spiral), Y_{size} (width of spiral), spacing 410 as spacing between adjacent metal segments (col. 9, ll.1-3);

Claims 6, 21: wherein the parameter data indicates at least one of the following: a number of sides of the spiral, and a diameter of an area bounded by the spiral within determining parameters of the spiral inductor shown on the Fig. 4, wherein number of turns 406 determines number of sides of the spiral, wherein a distance between first and last metal segments might be calculated as diameter of an area bounded by the spiral (col. 9, ll.41-47);

Claims 7, 22: further comprising the step of: c. processing the recognition layer data to determine whether all bends in conductors forming the spiral are of uniform bend angle by determination the shape of the spiral of the inductor, such as a square as shown on the Fig. 4, wherein square has all right (90°) angles as uniform (col. 9, ll.10-12);

Claims 10, 25: wherein the boundary shape includes a plurality of polygonal shapes representing shapes of conductive material forming the spiral within presenting the inductor in a variety of configurations and shapes, such as spiral, non-spiral inductors, having the shape of square, rectangular, octagonal spiral inductors (col. 13, ll.20-31), and wherein step b comprises the substeps of: b1. processing the recognition layer data to identify each polygonal shape and to determine a length of each identified polygonal shape as shown on the Fig. 5, wherein rectangular shape of the spiral

Art Unit: 2825

inductor is represented as layout 524 including X_{size} 518 (length of the rectangular/shape) Y_{size} 522 (width of the shape/rectangular), and b2. summing the computed lengths of the polygonal shapes to determine a length of the spiral within other parameters characterizing the shape of spiral inductor including number of turns 506, width 514, spacing 510 for calculating the length of the spiral (col. 10, ll.1-6).

Claims 11, 26: wherein the boundary shape includes a plurality of polygonal shapes representing shapes of conductive material forming the spiral within presenting the inductor in a variety of configurations and shapes, such as spiral, non-spiral inductors, having the shape of square, rectangular, octagonal spiral inductors (col. 13, ll.20-31), and wherein step b comprises the substep of: b1. processing the recognition layer data to identify each polygonal shape and to determine a width of each identified polygonal shape within determination of each metal segment 426 and their shape (col. 9, ll.30-33) shown on the Fig. 4 including width 414 and number of turns 406;

Claims 12, 27: further comprising the step of c. processing the recognition layer data boundary shape to generate a netlist representation of the drawn inductor as shown on the Fig. 2, which is a schematic diagram of an spiral inductor subcircuit model represents a netlist of the spiral inductor (col. 4, ll.28-41);

Claims 13, 28: wherein the netlist representation of the drawn inductor includes data describing physical characteristics of the inductor influencing its inductance within a table 300 shown on the Fig. 3 containing parameters from the schematic diagram on the Fig. 2 and calculating inductance of the spiral inductor (col. 8, ll.33-40);

Claims 14, 29: further comprising the steps of: c. processing the recognition layer data to determine a position of the inductor within the layout based on a position of the boundary shape of its spiral indicated by the recognition layer data within determining spiral inductor parameters such as Y_{size} and X_{size} to indicate a total width of spiral inductor in the x direction and y direction, which might be used for determination a position of the spiral inductor in the integrated circuit layout (col. 4, ll.61-64); d. processing the recognition layer data to determine whether all bends in conductors forming the spiral are of uniform bend angle within determination of the shape of the spiral inductor layout, which might be a square as demonstrated by the Fig. 4, wherein each angle of bending the segment of the spiral inductor equal to 90° (col. 9, ll.10-12); e. processing the layout data to determine whether conductive material residing on separate layers and forming portions of the spiral inductor are interconnected within determination in the layout of metal segments 426 of the spiral inductor and their terminals 428 and 430 having connection in the different metal levels (col. 9, ll.29-33);.

Claims 15, 30: further comprising the steps of f. processing the recognition layer data to determine parameters relating to a shape of the spiral influencing the spiral's inductance within a table 300 shown on the Fig. 3 containing parameters from the schematic diagram on the Fig. 2 and calculating inductance of the spiral inductor (col. 8, ll.33-40); and g. processing the data representing the layout to generate a netlist description of the spiral inductor including the parameters determined at step f within step 610 of the Fig. 6 showing the verification of the circuit layout within LVS to

Art Unit: 2825

generate final layout representing the same topology specified by the circuit schematic (col. 11, ll.45-57)..

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 8, 9, 23 and 24 have allowable subject matter and are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and **after all objections are overcome**.

The prior art of record does not teach or suggest a combination of steps/elements in claim 8 and similarly recited claims 9, 23 and 24, among other steps/elements "wherein the second binary data indicates positions of all conductive material forming the spiral and refrains from indicating positions of any conductive material forming the center tap/the spoke".

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen Rossoshek whose telephone number is 571-272-1905. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on 571-272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2825

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Paul Dinh". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

PAUL DINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner
Helen Rossoshek
AU 2825